			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,165	12/01/2003	Loc Quang Duong	EH-10832 (02-822)	2068
34704 7.	4704 7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.		HANNON, THOMAS R		
	-			
BACHMAN 900 CHAPEL S SUITE 1201	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/725,165	DUONG, LOC Q	DUONG, LOC QUANG	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas R. Hannon	3682		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months afte	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M I, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
earn Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 26 October 2006			
2a)□) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition fo	-	atters prosecution as to the	morite ie	
.•/∟	closed in accordance with the practice	•	•		
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C	.D. 11, 400 0.0. 210.		
ispositi	on of Claims			•	
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-25 is/are pending	g in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•••••			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-6,9-11,15-18 and 21-25 is/a	re rejected.			
	Claim(s) 7,8,19 and 20 is/are objected				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.			
un lin eti					
	on Papers				
·	The specification is objected to by the E				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 March 2006</u>		• •		
	Applicant may not request that any objection				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.	
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority under 35 U S C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	All b) Some * c) None of:		3 (4) (3) (1).		
-	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			Stane	
	application from the Internationa			olugo	
* s	ee the attached detailed Office action f		nt received		
ttachman	(c)				
ttachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		- Dummer (DTO, 140)		
	e of References Cited (P10-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) . Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date		
) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 📃 Notice of	Informal Patent Application		
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	·		
Patent and Tr OL-326 (Re	ademark Office ev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da		

•

Application/Control Number: 10/725,165 Art Unit: 3682

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al (6,273,612) in view of Okamoto et al. (6,089,755.

Ono discloses a journal bearing system comprising a bushing (10) and a journal pin (not shown) within the bushing and rotatable relative to the bushing about a longitudinal axis. The bushing and journal pin have an engagement surface with an engagement length comprising a substrate material (12) and a solid lubricant (in layer 16). The layer 16 is shown to vary the concentration of the solid lubricant along the engagement length, with the concentration being highest at the point of highest load. Moreover, Ono discloses that the concentration variation of the solid lubricant is equivalent to varying he thickness of the overlay layer with a constant concentration. That is, a portion of the bearing journal that has the highest load can have an overlay with a large thickness, or equivalently a large concentration of the solid lubricant. Okamoto discloses a journal bearing in which the thickness of the overlay varies along the longitudinal length of the bushing to accommodate the high loads at the ends thereof. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Ono in other known bearing systems, notably that of Okamoto, to result in an overlay having a concentration which varies in the longitudinal direction to accommodate the high loads at the ends of the longitudinal direction. With respect to claim 3, the value of the maximum concentration would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made subject to the desired parameters. With respect to claim 4, the base material (1'6) comprises a coating applied to a substrate (12). With respect to claim 5, the substrate 12 of Ono is a copper alloy and the solid lubricant comprises molybdenum disulphide (column 8, line 56). With respect to claim 10, the resultant structure of the above combination corresponds to the structure defined by the means plus function limitation.

Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. in view of Okamoto et al. as applied to claims 5 and 10 above, and further in view of Andler.

Andler discloses a journal bearing system in which a bushing has an engagement surface comprising a concentration of solid lubricant within a copper matrix, the solid lubricant being lead. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solid lubricant and its matrix of Ono to consist of other known solid lubricant matrix overlays, including that of lead in a copper matrix, because this is taught and suggested by Andler, as being a known overlay composition for journal bearings.

Claims 9, 15-18, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. in view of Okamoto et al. as applied to claims 1-5 and 10 above, and further in view of McCreary.

McCreary discloses a bushing and journal pin assembly for a geared turbofan transmission in which the journal pin has at least one lubrication passageway (60) extending to the engagement surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the bushing assembly of Ono in other known devices including that of a turbofan transmission, because McCreary discloses the use of a bushing obtained by plating. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a journal pin with lubrication passageways, as the pin for Ono, because this is taught and suggested by McCreary as being a known manner of lubricating a bushing assembly. With respect to claim 25, the transmission of McCreary is liquid-lubricated, the combination inherently providing the function claimed.

Claims 7, 8, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,165 Art Unit: 3682

trh

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682